

From: [John McMillan](#)
To: [Cindy Andrews](#)
Subject: Resource Properties, LLC proposal
Date: Tuesday, August 9, 2022 1:33:47 PM

Hi Cindy:

Thank you for your help this morning.

I own and reside on a neighboring property (parcel #0222327017).

As one on whose property the ravine drains onto, and as one who has monitored the environmental health of this drainage for the past 26 years, I have some questions and concerns regarding this proposal, especially how the storm drainage outfall will prevent contaminants entering the pristine ravine and wetland on the southeastern corner of the property.

Some questions/comments:

1. Does all normal run-off from yards and streets end up in the vault or just some of it?
2. What is the purpose of the 15" storm drainage easement that goes east of the development? Will a pipe be installed there later and if so, why?
3. What is the purpose of the 15-ft zone just outside of the 150-ft wetland buffer and will equipment be accessing that zone?
4. We know the street lights are LEDs on a 15-ft pole, however, will that light be directed downwards only and not outward or upward?
5. Knowing critters are negatively affected by too much light, we would strongly recommend minimal lighting, especially at night (we are especially concerned about the lights in the private park in the NE corner of the site).

Thank you for your time helping to make our city stay in harmony with nature.

- John McMillan

From: [John McMillan](#)
To: [Roxanne Robles](#)
Subject: Re: Resource Properties, LLC proposal
Date: Monday, August 15, 2022 10:59:14 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)
[image003.png](#)
[image004.jpg](#)
[image005.jpg](#)
[image006.jpg](#)
[image007.png](#)

Thanks, Roxanne.

Yes, the comments I sent you should be included in what goes to the hearing examiner from me.

- John

On Mon, Aug 15, 2022 at 9:53 AM Roxanne Robles <rroblies@gigharborwa.gov> wrote:

Hello John,

I am happy to add your comments to the public record, and will add your email to the interested parties list. The public hearing has not yet been scheduled, but you will receive a notification when the hearing is scheduled. Property owners can send comments to me, and they will be included in the record for review by the hearing examiner.

As for your stormwater concerns, as with any kind of spill in right of way, anything that is not immediately contained would end up in the stormwater and wastewater systems. Constructing this project without a stormwater system would be radically more detrimental to the critical area because of the impervious surface being introduced by the development. These systems are designed to contain and treat stormwater and wastewater specifically so that surges (from storms or accidents) do not overwhelm natural systems, and stormwater engineering and systems are not unique to this project.

I hope this helps. Best,

Roxanne Robles | Senior Planner

3510 Grandview St • Gig Harbor, WA 98335

253-525-0319 (direct) www.cityofgigharbor.net



From: John McMillan <johnmcmillan0325@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, August 12, 2022 7:58 AM
To: Roxanne Robles <rrobles@gigharborwa.gov>
Subject: Resource Properties, LLC proposal

Hi Roxanne:

Thanks for your work on this proposal.

Firstly, I, like other property owners adjacent to the subject proposal site, am not in favor of the city permitting this development.

What I saw at the meeting was that the site is far too steep and not suitable for any building project. I don't think any of us want to see it all get washed down into the ravine.

Sure, what is being proposed may meet current codes and requirements but as our senior planner, wouldn't it be wise for you to consider extreme weather conditions that, no doubt, will affect our area just like they are occurring world wide. All it would take is one big rainstorm to overwhelm an already maxed-out retention system and this whole pristine ravine ecosystem is destroyed - just to allow a developer to make some money.

I am very concerned that the developer is pushing the boundaries of safety on this project and in doing so, is putting city staff at risk of permitting a project that could very quickly go wrong.

Secondly, since the DRB is unable to address outfall issues, who at the city can answer the question I posed at the meeting, "If a 500-gallon tank of pesticides were to spill from a pest control vehicle at lot 7, 8 or 9, where do those toxins end up?"

Also, I would like to find out when the hearing will take place and how adjacent property owners can express their concerns.

Thank you.

- John McMillan